



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/717,887

11/20/2003

Michael W. Allen

11180013010202

9407

37211 7590 01/19/2007  
BASCH & NICKERSON LLP  
1777 PENFIELD ROAD  
PENFIELD, NY 14526

EXAMINER

PATEL, RAJNIKANT B

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2838

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
--	-----------	---------------

3 MONTHS

01/19/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/717,887	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ALLEN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Rajnikant B. Patel	<b>Art Unit</b> 2838	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 December 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 and 17-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 and 17-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

**The finality of office action dated 19 October 2006 is hereby withdrawn a non final office action on merits, presented,**

### ***Response to Arguments***

1. In response to applicant's arguments filed 19 December 2006 have been fully considered. In regards to claim 7, examiner made a typographic error instead of Rodriguez et al.'s name examiner used Chen et al.'s name but as stated in rejection ground based on 103(a) is valid. In regards applicant's argument to motivation applicant's claim 1, claims the detachable connector for establish electrical connection to electronic circuit and Cama et al.'s reference teaches the utilization of similar technique for connector (column 1, line 55-65), in regards to applicant argument Rodriguez et al. fails to examiner disagree with applicant because Rodriguez et al.'s column 3, line 55-65 disclose the utilization printed circuit board and for dielectric coating also disclose by Rodriguez et al. (column 4, line 1-5).

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claim 1 and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rodriguez et al. (U.S. Patent # 4,622,627) in combination with Cama et al. (U.S. Patent # 6,211,457B1).

Rodriguez et al. disclose the claimed subject matters an electronic power supply (figure 1-3), including an encapsulated portion and circuit board (Abstract, line 15-20), a dielectric material (column 3, line 65-70 and column 4, line 1-5) and output connector at terminals (figure 3, item 66 and 66'). However Rodriguez et al. does not disclose the utilization of the technique for an integrated connector. Cama et al. teaches the utilization of the similar technique for an integrated connector (figure 1, item 18). It would have been obvious one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Rodriguez et al.'s power supply by technique taught by Cama et al. for the purpose of securing firm connection with external devices.

Art Unit: 2838

3. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rodriguez et al. (U.S. Patent # 4,622,627) in combination Nakamura et al. (U.S. Patent # 4,906,208).

Rodriguez et al. disclose the claimed subject matters an encapsulated power converter (figure 1). Rodriguez et al. does not disclose the utilization of the technique for a detachable line cord connector with threaded mounts is earth grounded. Nakamura et al. teaches the utilization of the similar technique for a detachable line cord connector with threaded mounts and earth ground (column 3, line 35-40). It would have been obvious one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Rodriguez et al.' power supply by utilizing the technique taught by Nakamura et al. for the purpose of providing encapsulated power supply.

4. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cross (U.S. Patent # 5,615,097) in combination with Rodriguez et al. (U.S. Patent # 4,622,627).

Cross discloses claimed subject matters an alternating current to direct current power converter (figure 1), including a current limiting means and MOSFET switch (figure 1, item 52), a bridge rectifier (figure 1, item 12), a capacitor charged via current limiting source (figure 1, item 54), a voltage level detection (figure 1, item 56), a resistive path (figure 1, item 50). Cross does not disclose the utilization of the technique for an encapsulated power converter. Rodriguez et al. teaches the utilization of the similar technique for an encapsulated power converter. It would have been obvious one having

Art Unit: 2838

an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Cross's power converter encapsulated for the purpose of improved mechanical integrity, power density and thermal performance.

***Allowable Subject Matter***


5. Claims 2-6,9-13 and 17-21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rajnikant B. Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-2082. The examiner can normally be reached on 6.30-5.00; m-f.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Karl Easthom can be reached on 571-272-1989. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2838

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

  
Rajnikant B Patel  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 2838

\*\*\*